In the article titled, “How to Qualify Container Closure Systems for Intended Use, Part 1,” published in IJPC 2019; 23(6): 454-461, some information in the figures was published in error. Figures 2, 3, 4, and 5 are corrected and presented here. A complete corrected copy of the article can also be downloaded at www.IJPC.com.

Figure 2.

Helium leak data are averages of 20 samples. Error bars represent standard deviation. Initial values of compression (percent) are given. Initial residual seal force values (averages of 20 samples) are shown as yellow circles. Combinations are cited in Table 2.

Figure 3.

Helium leak data are averages of 20 samples. Error bars represent standard deviation. Initial values of compression (percent) are given. Initial residual seal force values (averages of 20 samples) are shown as yellow circles. Combinations are cited in Table 2.
FIGURE 4.
OXYGEN HEADSPACE CONTAINER CLOSURE INTEGRITY PERFORMANCE OVER ONE YEAR OF 13-MM STOPPER SYSTEMS.11

Oxygen headspace data are averages of 30 samples. Error bars represent standard deviation. Initial values of compression (percent) are given. Combinations are cited in Table 2.

FIGURE 5.
OXYGEN HEADSPACE CONTAINER CLOSURE INTEGRITY PERFORMANCE OVER ONE YEAR OF 20-MM STOPPER SYSTEMS.11

Oxygen headspace data are averages of 30 samples. Error bars represent standard deviation. Initial values of compression (percent) are given. Combinations are cited in Table 2.